

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

Docket No. 217-2003-EQ-00106

In the Matter of the Liquidation of
The Home Insurance Company

**AFFIDAVIT OF PETER A. BENGELSDORF, SPECIAL DEPUTY LIQUIDATOR,
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
WITH NOKIA OF AMERICA CORPORATION**

I, Peter A. Bengelsdorf, hereby depose and say:

1. I was appointed Special Deputy Liquidator of the Home Insurance Company ("Home") by the Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, as Liquidator ("Liquidator") of Home. I submit this affidavit in support of the Liquidator's Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with Nokia of America Corporation. The facts and information set forth are either within my own knowledge gained through my involvement with this matter, in which case I confirm that they are true, or are based on information provided to me by others, in which case they are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

2. The motion seeks approval for the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Settlement Agreement") between Nokia of America Corporation (formerly known as Lucent Technologies, Inc.), a successor-in-interest to rights under insurance policies issued by Home to American Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Claimant"), and the Liquidator. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated under my supervision. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit A to the Liquidator's motion.

3. Home issued thirty insurance policies to American Telephone and Telegraph Company, now known as AT&T Corp. ("AT&T") for various periods under which Claimant has

rights which, together with all other insurance policies Home may have issued to AT&T or Claimant, are referred to collectively as the “Policies”. Settlement Agreement, first Whereas clause.

4. Claimant has submitted proofs of claim in the Home liquidation seeking coverage for asbestos premises, general liability and employer liability bodily injury claims that have been assigned proof of claim number INSU703729 (“Asbestos Proof of Claim”), and a proof of claim seeking coverage for an environmental claim that has been assigned proof of claim number INSU715420 (“Environmental Proof of Claim”), which together with any other proofs of claim hereinbefore or hereinafter filed by Claimant in the Home liquidation are defined collectively as the “Proofs of Claim”.¹ Settlement Agreement, third Whereas clause.

5. The Liquidator and the Claimant have negotiated the Settlement Agreement to resolve all matters concerning the Proofs of Claim and all rights and obligations with respect to the Policies. Settlement Agreement, fourth Whereas clause. The Settlement Agreement is subject to approval by the Court. Settlement Agreement, fifth Whereas clause, ¶ 1.

6. The Settlement Agreement provides that the Liquidator will recommend (a) allowance of the Asbestos Proof of Claim in the amount of \$15,000,000 (the “Asbestos Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under RSA 402-C:44, and (b) allowance of the Environmental Proof of Claim in the amount of \$2,000,000 (the “Environmental Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under RSA 402-C:44. The Asbestos Recommended Amount and the Environmental Recommended Amount together are the

¹ AT&T filed a proof of claim concerning the policies which was resolved by a settlement agreement dated December 1, 2022 and approved by the Court on January 9, 2023. (An additional 33 proofs of claim for AT&T were administratively closed via a Notice of Determination approved by the Court on November 14, 2016.) Certain environmental claims filed by Lucent Technologies Inc. by proofs of claim numbers INSU701948, INSU70949 and INSU701951 through INSU701978 were resolved by a settlement agreement dated July 5, 2006 which was approved by the Court on August 23, 2006.

“Recommended Amount”. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(A). Allowance of the \$17,000,000 Recommended Amount as a Class II claim will fully and finally resolve the Proofs of Claim and all claims Claimant has under the Policies. *Id.* ¶ 2(B). Distributions based on that allowance will be made at the same intervals and at the same percentages as distributions to other Class II creditors of Home. *Id.* ¶ 2(C). The Recommended Amount reflects only known claims identified before the Claim Amendment Deadline.

7. The Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve the Proofs of Claim and all claims that Claimant has under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(B). To that end, the Settlement Agreement provides for mutual releases of all claims among the Liquidator, Home, and the Claimant arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies. *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 4.

8. In resolving all of the Claimant’s claims relating to the Proofs of Claim and the Policies, the Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve all matters arising out of or relating to any rights the Claimant ever had, now has, or hereafter may have in the Policies and the Proofs of Claim, including any asserted rights of third-party claimants against the Claimant under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. The Claimant agrees to address, at its sole cost, any such claims of third-party claimants against the Claimant as if there had been no liquidation proceeding for Home and as if the Claimant had no insurance coverage from Home by virtue of the Policies. *Id.* The Claimant also agrees to indemnify and hold the Liquidator and Home harmless from all claims arising from or relating to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies, except insurer contribution claims but including asserted rights of third party claimants, up to the total amount ultimately distributed in relation to the Recommended Amount. *Id.*

9. The Liquidator is not aware of any third party claimants presently asserting claims under the Policies.² However, the denial of any third party claimants' claims without prejudice to their claims against Claimant will not harm the third party claimants, who will continue to have their claims against Claimant. As noted above, Claimant has agreed to address such claims as if they had no insurance coverage from Home under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Third party claimants' proofs of claim against the insolvent Home, if not denied with the agreement, would release the Claimant from those claims up to the limits of the Policies but only entitle the third party claimants (assuming their claims were allowed) to the initial interim distributions and any later distribution at a presently undetermined distribution percentage from Home at the future date when distribution is made. It is not expected that the allowed claims of any third party claimants (or other Class II creditors) of Home will be paid in full. Under the Settlement Agreement, Claimant is responsible for any third party claimants' claims against it. *See* Settlement Agreement ¶ 5.

10. The Liquidator is not aware of any proofs of claim asserting a claim subject to the same policy limit as the Asbestos Proof of Claim or the Environmental Proof of Claim.³ However, if a claim of another claimant is subject to the same limit of liability as claims resolved by the Settlement Agreement, and if the total allowed amounts for all claimants exceed the limit, then the allowed amounts for all claimants will be subject to adjustment under RSA 402-C:40, IV, so that the policy limit will not be exceeded. *See* Settlement Agreement ¶ 6.

11. The Settlement Agreement reflects a compromise of the claims asserted in the Proofs of Claim. It is the result of negotiations involving the Claims Department, under my


² Six third party proofs of claim concerning AT&T were disallowed as abandoned by notices of determination approved by the Court on April 21, 2010.

³ The settled AT&T proof of claim and the settled Lucent proofs of claim asserted coverage under the Policies for certain exposures; however, the claims are separate occurrences and are not subject to the same limits.

supervision, which has extensive experience in assessing the exposure presented by claims under Home's insurance policies. The agreed settlement amount is based on careful evaluation and negotiation of coverage obligations under the Policies respecting the underlying liabilities of the Claimant. The Liquidator accordingly recommends approval of the Settlement Agreement and allowance of the \$17,000,000 settlement amount as a Class II claim of the Claimant in accordance with RSA 402-C:45 and RSA 402-C:44.

12. I believe that the Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the policyholders and creditors of Home.

Signed under the penalties of perjury this 31 day of August, 2023.


Peter A. Bengelsdorf
Special Deputy Liquidator of The Home Insurance
Company

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached and not the truthfulness, accuracy or validity of that document.


STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF VENTURA

On Aug 31, 2023 before me, Brendan Nolan, Notary Public, personally appeared Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator of The Home Insurance Company, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.




Signature of Notary Public